

Attorneys and Counselors at Law 123 South Calhoun Street P.O. Box 391 32302 Tallahassee, FL 32301

P: (850) 224-9115 F: (850) 222-7560

ausley.com

April 2, 2024

ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket 20240026-EI; Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the above-referenced docket is the Direct Testimony of Archie Collins and Exhibit No. AC-1.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

(Document 2 of 32)

Sincerely,

J. Jeffry Wahlen

cc: All parties

JJW/ne Attachment



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 20240026-EI

IN RE: PETITION FOR RATE INCREASE

BY TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT

OF

ARCHIE COLLINS

FILED: 04/02/2024

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ARCHIE COLLINS

Q. Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.

A. My name is Archie Collins. My business address is 702 N. Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed by Emera Inc. and am seconded to Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or the "company") as President and Chief Executive Officer.

Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities in that position.

A. As President and Chief Executive Officer, I report to the Board of Directors of Tampa Electric. I am responsible for all aspects of the company's activities, including safety; customer experience; strategy development; energy supply and delivery; environment compliance and stewardship; and shared services such as Information Technology, Legal, Human Resources, Finance, Facilities, and Procurement. All Tampa Electric officers report to me, and together we lead a team of approximately 2,500 employees.

Q. Please provide a brief outline of your educational background and business experience.

A. I graduated from St. Francis Xavier University with a diploma in Engineering and from Dalhousie University with a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering.

I have more than 30 years of experience in the energy industry. Prior to assuming my current role in 2021, I was Chief Operating Officer of the company. I also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Grand Bahama Power Company and President and Chief Operating Officer of Emera Caribbean. I have also served as Executive Vice President of Commercial Operations for Emera Energy, as Vice President of Operations at Emera Energy, and in senior roles with Nova Scotia Power.

Q. What are the purposes of your direct testimony?

2.3

A. Tampa Electric requests that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission" or "FPSC") approve a \$296.6 million annual increase in the company's retail base rates effective January 1, 2025, and subsequent year incremental rate adjustments for 2026 and 2027 of \$100.1 and \$71.8 million, respectively.

My direct testimony (1) provides an overview of Tampa Electric; (2) describes our successes transforming the company since our last general base rate proceeding in 2021; (3) previews our plans for the future; (4) explains why we are seeking base rate increases in this proceeding and the things we have done to moderate our request; (5) provides an overview of our requests; and (6) highlights how our proposed rate increase for 2025 is expected to impact customers' bills. I also introduce the other witnesses who have filed direct testimony in support of the company's petition and briefly describe the subject matter each witness will cover.

Q. How are typical customer bills in 2025 expected to compare with previous years' bills?

A. Based on our clause factors in effect on January 1, 2024 and proposed 2025 base rate increase, we expect typical residential bills to be lower in 2025 than they were in 2023.

2.3

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit to support your direct testimony?

A. Yes. Exhibit No. AC-1, entitled "Exhibit of Archie

Collins," was prepared under my direction and supervision. The contents of my exhibit were derived from the business records of the company and are true and correct to the best of my information and belief. It consists of three documents:

Document No. 1 List of Tampa Electric Witnesses and Purpose of their Direct Testimony

Document No. 2 List of Minimum Filing Requirement Schedules Sponsored by Archie Collins

Document No. 3 Witness Assignments for Minimum Filing

Requirement Schedules

(1) OVERVIEW OF TAMPA ELECTRIC

Q. Please describe Tampa Electric.

2.3

A. Tampa Electric was incorporated in Florida in 1899 and was reincorporated in 1949. Tampa Electric is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Emera Incorporated. ("Emera"). Tampa Electric became part of the Emera family of companies in 2016 when Emera purchased all common stock of TECO Energy, Inc. Tampa Electric is an investor-owned public utility regulated by the Commission and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Q. What are the company's goals?

A. Tampa Electric is committed to being a trusted energy partner for our customers now and in the future.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1

2

We have three overall strategic goals: (1) strengthen and modernize our electric grid, (2) provide clean and reliable generation, and (3) create value for customers. Achieving these goals requires operational excellence, which means World Class Safety, embracing innovation, using data and technology to make smart decisions, continuously improving our business processes, putting the right people in the right roles with the right training, and engaging with our communities.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

We focus on carefully and prudently managing our operating expenses and capital spending to ensure public and employee safety, and to meet the growing and changing energy needs in service territory. We work diligently continuously the thoughtfully to improve safety, reliability, and resilience of our electric system, improve efficiency in all areas of our operations - especially the generating efficiency of our existing power plants - and ensure that we can continue serving customers at all times regardless of weather conditions. We must also focus on ensuring the continuity, security, and resilience of our business operations.

Q. Has Tampa Electric been recognized for its storm readiness?

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

Α. Yes. In December 2023, Tampa Electric became the first utility in Florida electric to be recognized as "StormReady" by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA"). This designation signifies that the company is at the forefront of advanced planning, education, and awareness to mitigate the impact of extreme weather events. NOAA assessed various aspects of our storm preparedness, including our proactive communications, our emergency operations center, team member training, 24/7 support, and engagement with local community partners. This recognition reflects our commitment to being prepared for whatever Mother Nature may have in store for the company and our customers.

16

17

18

Q. In addition to providing electricity, how does Tampa Electric support the communities it serves?

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

A. Our team members work and live in the communities we serve, and it is important to Tampa Electric to be a positive force in those communities. Tampa Electric team members provided over 90,000 hours of volunteer service to community groups from 2021 to 2023. Our employees and the company donated more than \$2.3 million to assist customers

in need from 2021 to 2023 through our SHARE program.

The company recognizes the importance of environmental stewardship to our customers, and we show it by pursuing environmentally beneficial projects when doing so makes sense. Some of them, like solar generation, provide direct benefits to our communities through fuel savings and emission reductions.

Others, like our Manatee Viewing Center, thoughtfully integrate conservation, environmental stewardship, and normal utility operations in beneficial ways. The Manatee Viewing Center is a public education center at Big Bend Power Station that uses the warm water discharge at Big Bend Power Station to provide critical protection from the cold for manatees. This winter, Big Bend Power Station and its state and federally designated manatee sanctuary hosted a record 1,100 manatees and thousands of customers, neighbors, and visitors to the Tampa Bay area.

Q. Please describe Emera.

A. Emera is a geographically diverse energy and services company headquartered in Halifax, Nova Scotia. As of December 31, 2023, it had approximately \$39.5 billion CAD

(Canadian dollars) in assets and 2023 revenues of approximately \$7.6 billion CAD. Emera primarily invests in regulated electric and gas utilities, with a strategic focus on transformation as energy markets evolve. Emera has investments throughout North America and in three Caribbean countries.

Q. What is Tampa Electric's legal relationship with Peoples
Gas System, Inc.?

A. Peoples Gas System was an operating division of Tampa Electric until January 1, 2023, when its assets, liabilities, and equity were transferred as part of a taxfree exchange to a new corporation named Peoples Gas System, Inc. ("2023 Transaction"). Peoples Gas System, Inc. is an affiliate of Tampa Electric and a local natural gas distribution company regulated by the Commission. Our Vice President Finance, Jeff Chronister discusses the 2023 Transaction in his direct testimony.

Q. How many retail customers does Tampa Electric serve?

A. Tampa Electric currently provides retail electric service to approximately 844,000 customers in a service territory covering approximately 2,000 square miles in Hillsborough

and portions of Polk, Pasco, and Pinellas counties. We serve these customers with our employees, contractors and the utility facilities described below. Most of our employees work in the areas of Energy Supply, Electric Delivery, and Customer Experience, along with others who work in support areas like Information Technology, Accounting and Finance, Human Resources, and Regulatory Affairs.

Q. Please describe the company's electric generating facilities.

A. The company maintains a diverse portfolio of generating facilities with a net winter capacity of approximately 6,433 megawatts ("MW"). Tampa Electric operates three electric generating stations that include fossil steam units, combined cycle units, combustion turbine peaking units, and an integrated gasification combined cycle unit. These units are located at Big Bend Power Station, H.L. Culbreath Bayside Power Station, and Polk Power Station.

As of January 1, 2024, the company operated about 1,250 MW of solar generating capacity at 22 facilities located throughout its retail service territory and had energy storage capacity of about $13.0~\mathrm{MW_{ac}}$. During calendar year

2023, the company's solar facilities provided approximately 8.6 percent of the company's total energy sales and represented almost 21 percent of the company's installed summer generating capacity. Since 2017, our solar facilities saved our customers approximately \$200 million in fuel expense.

Our electric generating, energy storage, and solar facilities are part of our Energy Supply area, which is led by our Vice President Energy Supply, Carlos Aldazabal, who discusses Energy Supply in his testimony.

Q. Please describe the company's transmission and distribution system.

A. Tampa Electric's transmission system consists of nearly 1,332 circuit miles of overhead facilities, including approximately 25,296 transmission poles and structures, and approximately ten circuit miles of underground facilities.

2.3

The company's distribution system consists of approximately 6,137 circuit miles of overhead facilities, approximately 266,773 poles, and 6,475 circuit miles of underground facilities.

Our transmission and distribution systems are connected through 238 substations throughout the company's service territory.

4

5

6

1

2

3

These systems and substations are part of our Electric Delivery area, which is led by our Vice President Electric Delivery, Chip Whitworth, who discusses Electric Delivery in his testimony.

9

10

11

8

Q. How important are its employees to the success of Tampa Electric?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

They are vitally important. Each of our employees impacts Α. the level of customer service we provide. Many of our employees are also customers and many of them work in the field or a customer care center and directly interact with our customers or operate and maintain our electric system. The employees who work in our corporate offices promote excellent customer service by making smart decisions informed by data, spending resources wisely, and preparing for the future. Tampa Electric is committed to providing fair and reasonable compensation to its employees and an opportunity for a life-long career in energy. We also like to provide apprenticeship and cooperative education opportunities to qualified people who are interested in an

energy career.

2

3

4

5

1

(2) SUCCESSES SINCE TAMPA ELECTRIC'S LAST BASE RATE PROCEEDING

Q. When did the company last file a petition seeking to increase its general base rates and charges ("rate case")?

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

Tampa Electric last filed a rate case on April 9, 2021, in Α. Docket No. 20210034-EI. The issues in that case were unanimous Stipulation resolved by а and Settlement Agreement ("2021 Agreement") by and between Tampa Electric and the consumer parties that participated in the case. The Commission approved the 2021 Agreement by Order No. PSC-2021-0423-S-EI, issued on November 10, 2021. The company has been operating under its terms since then.

15

16

17

2021 AGREEMENT

Q. Please describe the 2021 Agreement.

18

19

20

21

22

A. Tampa Electric agreed that the general base rates provided for therein would remain in effect through December 31, 2024, and thereafter, until the company's next general base rate case. In addition, the 2021 Agreement:

23

24

25

(1) set the company's midpoint return on equity at 9.95 percent, with the opportunity to earn an additional 25 basis

points as a result of a Return on Equity ("ROE") Trigger; 1 2 3 (2) prescribed a 54 percent equity ratio for all regulatory purposes; 4 5 (3) created the Clean Energy Transition Mechanism ("CETM") 6 that recovers the retirement and dismantlement of Big Bend Units 1, 2, and 3 and retired Automatic Meter Readings 8 assets; 10 (4) authorized \$89.7 million and \$21.4 million Generating 11 Base Rate Adjustments ("GBRA") for Big 12 the Bend Modernization Conversion Project certain solar 13 and 14 projects; and 15 16 (5) specified certain cost of service and rate design principles for use during the term of the agreement and for 17 the initial filing in this case. 18 19 20 Q. Did the company increase its base rates as a result of the ROE Trigger? 21 22 23 Α. Yes. During the term of the 2021 Agreement, the average 30year United States Treasury Bond yield rate increased for 24 25 a period of six (6) consecutive months at least 50 basis

points over the yield rate on the date the Commission voted to approve this 2021 Agreement. Tampa Electric requested to implement the "Trigger" provision in paragraph 2 of the 2021 Agreement by adjusting the company's authorized ROE by 25 basis points to be within a range of 9.25 percent to 11.25 percent with a mid-point of 10.20 percent and to make a commensurate increase in base rates and charges. The Commission issued Order No. PSC-2022-0322-FOF-EI on September 12, 2022, approving the company's request.

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

Q. Has the company experienced challenges during the term of the 2021 Agreement?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Α. Yes. Like our customers, Tampa Electric experienced unanticipated levels of general inflation, interest rate increases, insurance premium increases, and challenging labor market conditions. In 2023, our customers experienced higher than normal bills due to the unfortunate combined effects of (1) our recovery in 2023 of higher than expected fuel prices incurred in 2022, (2) the impact of damage from tropical storms on our system, and (3) record-setting heat during the summer months. Our Vice President of Customer Experience, Karen Sparkman, discusses the impact that high customer bills in 2023 had on our customer experience and how we are improving based on the lessons we learned last

year.

Q. Has Tampa Electric grown since 2021 when the 2021 Agreement was approved?

A. Yes. We served approximately 800,000 customers in May 2021 and employed an average of approximately 2,400 team members in 2021. As of December 2023, we served approximately 840,000 customers, and increased to 844,000 by February 2024. We expect to serve approximately 854,000 customers by the end of 2024 and 868,000 customers by the end of 2025. We employed approximately 2,500 team members in December 2023. We expect our average employee count for 2024 to be approximately 2,550 and then to remain at that level during 2025.

Q. Did the 2021 Agreement contain general cost recovery provisions?

A. No. The 2021 Agreement included GBRA for recovering capital investments beyond the 2022 test year but did not include cost recovery for the general capital investments we make each year to operate the company or for the expenses associated with additional employees, so the company had to manage these and other costs during the settlement

period.

Our employee and customer count numbers are discussed further in the direct testimony of Vice President Human Resources Marian Cacciatore and Director Load Research and Forecasting Lori Cifuentes, respectively.

Q. Has the 2021 Agreement served the public interest?

A. Yes. The agreement promoted regulatory certainty and efficiency by eliminating the need to file successive rate cases in 2022 and 2023 and has proven to be in the public interest. The 2021 Agreement allowed the company to improve its safety record; continue to be a solar leader in Florida; continue to transform its generating fleet to be more efficient and reduce fuel costs; improve reliability and resiliency; maintain a strong financial profile and customer service rankings; and maintain sufficient reserve margins. It also enabled the company to improve the efficiency, sufficiency, and adequacy of its facilities and services during the settlement period.

SAFETY

Q. Did the challenges described above cause the company's safety record to decline? A. No. Safety is still our number one priority, and our results show it.

We have committed ourselves to achieving World Class safety, and to the beliefs that (1) all injuries are preventable and (2) no business consideration can take priority over safety. Our unwavering focus on safety creates a sense of ownership among our entire team that ultimately benefits our customers.

Tampa Electric's reportable OSHA incidents declined from 24 in 2019 to a low of 17 in 2023. Our OSHA incident rate declined from 1.02 in 2019 to a low of 0.70 in 2023. Our safety efforts and results are discussed further in the testimony of Mr. Aldazabal and Mr. Whitworth.

SOLAR GENERATION AND ENERGY SUPPLY IMPROVEMENTS

Q. What solar generation facilities did the company add to its Energy Supply system since 2021?

2.3

A. We added approximately 600 MW of solar generating capacity as provided in the 2021 Agreement. With these additions, Tampa Electric's solar facilities can now produce approximately 1,250 MW of electricity, enough to power more than 200,000 homes. We are Florida's top - and the

Southeast's second highest - producer of solar energy per customer. About 8.6 percent of the electricity we generated in 2023 came from the sun, and we expect our solar generation to reach approximately 12 percent in 2024. These solar projects have saved our customers more than \$200 million in fuel costs since 2017 and help moderate fuel price volatility. These solar additions furthered the goals in Section 366.91(1), Florida Statutes, by developing renewable energy resources and are discussed further in the prepared direct testimony of Mr. Aldazabal and Kris Stryker, Vice President Clean Energy and Technology.

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

Q. What other improvements did the company make to its Energy Supply system?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. We made a number of operational improvement investments, but the biggest was the Big Bend Modernization Project, which also was approved in the 2021 Agreement. As part of this project, the company retired Big Bend Unit 2 and Unit 3, refurbished the Big Bend Unit 1 steam turbine and generator, and replaced the Unit 1 boiler and coal processing equipment with two new, highly efficient General Electric 7HA.02 combustion turbines and associated heat recovery steam generators. These changes helped improve

our system reliability and operating flexibility, and reduced fuel costs. The combined winter generating capacity of the new units increased from approximately 800 MW to 1,120 MW (winter capacity) and reduced their combined heat rates from over 10,500 Btu/kWh to about 6,300 Btu/kWh - a 40 percent efficiency gain.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

Another example of our system improvements is the Advanced Gas Path ("AGP") Project at Bayside Power Station, that consists of improvements to the cooling systems, section parts redesign, and sealing to maximize output, efficiency, and flexibility at Units 1 and 2. The AGP work at Bayside Unit 1 was completed in 2022 and resulted in increased capacity and greater efficiency for Unit 1. Bayside Unit 2 AGP work will be completed in spring 2024, for a combined 128 MW increase in capacity and heat rate improvement of nearly five percent. The AGP technology improves the flexibility of the units by adding fast start capability, which substantially reduces time to synchronize to the grid.

21

22

2.3

24

25

The efficiency of our combined generating system has improved by 20 percent since 2017. These changes, along with other improvements and the addition of solar generation, have significantly reduced customers' fuel

costs, and reduced annual emissions by about 38 percent since 2017. Presently, about two percent of our electricity is generated using coal, about 86 percent is natural gas-fired, and about 12 percent is from solar.

Our Energy Supply investments have improved the efficiency, sufficiency, and adequacy of our facilities and services since the company's last case and are explained in the prepared direct testimony of Mr. Aldazabal.

ELECTRIC DELIVERY AND RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Q. What investments did the company make in its Electric Delivery system?

2.3

A. We made important investments to improve our customer experience and promote resilience and reliability. We completed our Automated Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") project by installing over 800,000 smart meters and a new distribution communications system, which allows us to identify outages more quickly and has helped moderate O&M expense increases. We also invested in distribution operating technology to improve system reliability. These changes, together with the enhanced vegetation management and grid hardening included in our Commission - approved Storm Protection Plan have improved system reliability.

Q. Can you quantify Tampa Electric's system reliability improvements?

A. Yes, our reliability has steadily improved since 2021. Our System Average Interruption Duration Index ("SAIDI") improved from a high of 84.5 in 2021 to a low of 57.27 in 2023 and our Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index ("MAIFIe") improved from a high of 6.5 in 2021 to a low of 6.44 in 2023. Customers Experiencing More than Five Outages of more than one minute ("CEMI-5") improved from 9,744 in 2021 to 1,022 in 2023. Today, the company provides 99.98 percent service reliability for its customers.

Q. Did the company make other major improvements to its electric delivery system?

A. Yes. The company replaced all of our street and area lights with smart LED technology. This innovative technology improves visibility, reduces glare, lowers O&M expense, and is more energy efficient than traditional lighting. Keeping the communities we serve well-lit helps keep our roadways safe and promotes public safety.

All of these investments have improved the efficiency, sufficiency, and adequacy of our facilities and services

since the company's last case. Our Electric Delivery system improvements and reliability results are discussed further in the prepared direct testimony of Mr. Whitworth and Tampa Electric witness David Lukcic.

5

6

1

2

3

4

CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE

Q. How have the company's customer service rankings changed since 2021?

9

10

11

12

13

14

8

A. Although our call center performance metrics declined in 2023 due to increased call volumes, our J.D. Power ranking for residential customer overall satisfaction has improved from the fourth quartile in 2017 to near the top of the second quartile in 2023.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

We scored better than the industry average for all six J.D. Power measures of customer satisfaction in 2023. Tampa Electric is ranked in the first quartile for Communications, and in the second quartile for four measures, including Power Quality and Reliability, Billing and Payment, Corporate Citizenship, and Customer Care. Our overall business satisfaction measures declined slightly in 2023 but remained above the industry average.

24

25

Ms. Sparkman, explains these rankings and our customer

experience performance metrics in her prepared direct testimony.

(3) PLANS FOR THE FUTURE

Q. What is Tampa Electric planning for the future?

A. Our work to transform our company is not complete. From 2024 to 2030, we expect ten percent customer growth, so investing to serve new customers will continue to be a priority. We must plan and invest now to be ready to serve our customers in the future. Our goals are to: (1) become even more reliable, resilient, efficient, and customerfocused, while remaining committed to safety, managing our costs, and providing the kind of positive customer experience our customers expect; (2) strengthen our system and enhance our response to power outages; and (3) focus on generating efficiencies and new energy supply projects that will help reduce the fuel costs our customers pay as part of their monthly bills.

Q. Please summarize the company's future plans.

A. As we look ahead, Tampa Electric plans to:

(1) Modify existing power plants (Polk Fuel Diversity

Project and Polk Unit 1 Flexibility Project) to improve performance and efficiency, increase fuel diversity, reduce fuel costs, increase generating fleet flexibility, and enhance system reliability. Mr. Aldazabal will explain these activities in his direct testimony.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

5

1

2

3

approximately MWincremental (2) Add 490 of solar generation via eight projects to promote fuel diversity, reduce customer exposure to fuel price volatility, and lower fuel costs on customers' bills. Mr. Stryker will explain these projects in his direct testimony, and Jose Aponte, Manager Resource Planning, will show that these projects are cost-effective additions to our generating fleet. These solar facilities are an efficient use of alternative energy resources and further the goals in 366.91(1), Section Florida Statutes, by developing renewable energy resources in Florida.

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

(3) Install a group of small reciprocating electric generators as part of our South Tampa Resilience Project that will help the company avoid costly transmission system upgrades, increase system resilience, and support national security. Mr. Aldazabal will explain this project in his direct testimony, and Mr. Aponte will show that the project is a cost-effective addition to our generating fleet.

(4) Construct approximately 115 MW of energy storage capacity as a cost-effective means to maintain winter reserve margins during cold weather events and an efficient use of alternative energy resources. This energy storage will allow us to serve customers with lower-cost energy during winter peaks, and also reduces our reliance on fuels purchased from sources beyond Florida. Mr. Stryker will explain these projects in his testimony, and Mr. Aponte will show that they are needed for winter reserve margin and are cost-effective additions to our generating fleet.

(5) Enhance our smart grid capabilities by improving our grid communication system and related technologies so our system will automatically respond to outages before crews arrive - allowing troubleshooters to diagnose and repair problems more quickly, which will reduce restoration times and costs. These improvements will be discussed by Mr. Whitworth and Mr. Lukcic, Senior Director Operational Technology and Strategy, in their direct testimony.

2.3

(6) Enhance operational security at critical company facilities by moving our energy control center and corporate offices to more storm-resistant locations that are farther inland and away from potential storm surge and flooding. The Bearss Operations Center and corporate

headquarters will improve our access and ability to operate at all times, especially after an extreme weather event, so we can continue to serve our customers when they need us the most. Mr. Aldazabal will explain these projects in his direct testimony.

(7) Expand our digital and self-service solutions with personalized energy-use insights and energy-saving tools to help customers make informed decisions that save energy and money. We are streamlining our operations to simplify customer interactions and to reduce call volumes and wait times. Ms. Sparkman will explain these and other Customer Experience initiatives in her prepared direct testimony.

(8) Continue to enhance and strengthen the security of our information technology systems against the evergrowing threat of cyber-security attacks. Chris Heck, our Vice President Information Technology and Chief Information Officer, will describe our efforts in this area in his direct testimony.

2.3

(9) Finally, we will continue to invest in innovative outdoor lighting technology, such as smart network controls that will let us know when a streetlight goes out. Mr. Lukcic will explain these enhancements in his testimony.

All of these investments and plans will continue to improve the efficiency, sufficiency, and adequacy of our facilities and services.

(4) OUR NEED FOR RATE RELIEF

Q. Has the company's financial profile changed since the end of 2021?

A. Yes. Our service territory continues to be one of the fastest growing areas in Florida, which is one of the fastest growing states in America. We have made substantial capital investments to better serve new and existing customers. Major portions of our rate base growth have helped us take advantage of typically low-cost natural gas as our primary fuel source as well as the addition of solar generation, reducing the fuel expenses borne by our customers. Although we are spending more each year to operate and maintain our growing system, our cumulative annual O&M expense growth rate over the past 10 years is only one half of one percent, which is well below customer growth and inflation.

2.3

Our Director Load Research and Forecasting, Lori Cifuentes, will provide more detail on our customer growth and present our 2025 customer, demand, and energy forecast in her

testimony. Tampa Electric witness Jeff Chronister, Vice President Finance, will provide more detail on our financial profile changes in his direct testimony.

Q. What are the major factors driving the need for rate relief?

A. Despite our efforts to be efficient and control costs, we expect our earned rate of return on equity to be less than nine percent for 2024, which is well below the current cost of equity and is not a fair and reasonable return.

The major factors causing the need for rate relief are:

2.3

INFLATION

The recent levels of inflation were significantly higher than expected in our last rate case. In that case, the consumer price index ("CPI") increase was projected to be 2.5 percent in 2021 and 2.8 percent in 2022. The actual CPI increases for 2021, 2022, and 2023 were 4.7 percent, 8.0 percent, and 4.1 percent, respectively, for a 17 percent increase over three years. Although the rate of inflation is expected to be lower in the future, the effects of higher prices are here to stay. Inflation has raised both the price of equipment and services needed for

capital projects and the company's O&M expenses.

For example, from 2021 to 2023, the prices Tampa Electric paid for transformers, substation equipment, switchgear, and poles increased by 49 percent, 36 percent, 21 percent, and 34 percent, respectively. The price of Grain Oriented Electrical Steel ("GOES") has doubled since January 2020, and the price of copper has increased by 50 percent over the same period. Distribution line contractor rates have increased over 45 percent since 2021. Property and liability insurance costs increased about 45 percent from 2020 to 2023.

The impact of inflation on the company and its operations are described in the direct testimony of Mr. Chronister, and our operations witnesses Aldazabal, Whitworth, Sparkman, and Heck.

COST OF CAPITAL AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE

As of December 31, 2021, the Federal Reserve rate was 0.08 percent and by December 31, 2023, the Federal Reserve increased the rate to about 5.33 percent. The short-term borrowing rates paid by the company reflected this increase; the average short-term debt interest rate for the company was 0.58 percent in 2021 and was 5.70 percent

in 2023. These changes in the capital markets have influenced long- and short-term borrowing costs and the cost of common equity.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1

2

3

An appropriate ROE is essential for a regulated utility to attract the capital necessary to make long-term investments. The company's equity ratio must be set to allow the company to maintain its financial integrity and access capital at competitive rates. Approving a reasonable return on equity and equity ratio will allow the company to make the investments needed to provide customers with reliable service at reasonable rates.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Chronister describes the impact of interest increases on our operations and supports our proposed short- and long-term debt interest rates in his testimony. also explains the importance of maintaining financial integrity and how the company's company's proposed capital structure, which maintains our currently approved equity ratio of 54 percent (investor sources), and revenue increase will help preserve the company's overall financial integrity.

23

24

25

Company witness Dylan D'Ascendis explains how changes in the capital markets have influenced the cost of equity

capital for utilities like Tampa Electric and provides testimony supporting the company's proposed 2025 mid-point return on equity of 11.50 percent.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

CAPITAL INVESTMENTS

During the three-year term of the 2021 Agreement, Tampa Electric expects to invest approximately \$3.7 billion to serve new customers; improve reliability, resilience, and efficiency; and ensure that our existing plant investments remain in sound working condition. In 2025, 2026, and 2027, the company expects to invest an average of \$1.6 billion per year for these purposes. The projects included in these investment amounts are explained in the direct testimony Aldazabal, of witnesses Stryker, Whitworth, Lukcic, Sparkman, and Chronister for their areas of responsibility. Mr. Heck and Ms. Cacciatore will do the same for our Information Technology and Human Resource areas, respectively.

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

DEPRECIATION EXPENSE AND DISMANTLEMENT COSTS

As our investments grow to serve new customers and improve reliability and resilience, so does the level of our depreciation expense. Consistent with the 2021 Agreement, the company filed an updated depreciation and dismantlement study on December 27, 2023 (Docket No. 20230139-EI) which

reflects a need to change certain depreciation rates. Tampa Electric witnesses Ned Allis and Jeff Kopp present depreciation and dismantlement studies that support the company's proposed depreciation rates for the 2025 test year. Our Utility Controller, Richard Latta, explains how the company applied the proposed depreciation rates to projected 2025 plant balances to develop the proposed level of depreciation expense included in our revenue requirement calculation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

OTHER FACTORS

In the current inflationary, higher-priced environment, O&M expenses must increase so we can continue to provide safe and reliable service to our customers. The company has been able to manage its overall O&M expense levels through the smart use of technology, its AMI investment, transitioning from coal to natural gas, and reasonable cost management practices; however, the costs labor, contractors, materials, insurance, and health care benefits have increased.

21

22

2.3

24

25

Challenging labor markets, explained by Ms. Cacciatore, have created upward pressure on our wage rates; however, the company is committed to fair wages and as Ms. Cacciatore explains in her testimony, the company must

continue to compensate our employees in a way that reflects the current market for talent.

The company has been able to partially offset O&M expense increases through the use of Production Tax Credits ("PTC"), which lower tax expense. Mr. Chronister and Tampa Electric witness Valerie Strickland, Director Corporate Taxes, discuss our use of PTC to reduce our 2025 revenue requirement in their direct testimony.

Q. What actions has the company taken to avoid increasing base rates and to moderate bill increases to customers?

A. The company has taken these specific actions to avoid and mitigate a rate increase:

(1) We have operated under a general base rate freeze since the end of 2021, agreeing not to seek rate relief during the term of the 2021 Agreement.

2.3

(2) Tampa Electric focused on cost control and financial efficiencies. We have invested in projects to improve operational efficiency and streamline processes, and we have operated with a commitment to cost discipline-all of which have helped us manage the company's overall cost

profile.

(3) As the company has invested in solar power, we have generated more and more electricity with no fuel costs. Since 2017, our solar projects have saved customers over \$200 million in fuel costs.

(4) We have successfully invested in projects to improve the efficiency (heat rate) of our generation fleet, and the results show. From 2017 to 2023, our overall system heat rate declined 20 percent from 8,488 to 6,755 Btu/kWh. Some of this decline can be attributed to our new solar generation, but most of it is the result of projects like Big Bend Modernization, Bayside AGP investment, and other system improvements, which have generated significant fuel and O&M savings and reduced customer bills. Mr. Aldazabal discusses these efforts and our results in his direct testimony.

2.3

(5) Although they are not base rate items, the company exceeded our 2023 expectations for Asset Optimization Mechanism ("AOM") gains and renewable energy credit ("REC") sales. Our customers are credited with a large share of the \$10 million in AOM gains through the fuel adjustment clause and 100 percent of wholesale REC sale proceeds

through the environmental cost recovery clause - which will help lower customers' bills. Mr. John Heisey, Director Origination and Trading, discusses these programs and the benefits they provide to customers in his testimony.

(5) OUR REQUEST FOR NEW RATES AND CHARGES

Q. Please summarize the company's requested base rate increases in this case.

A. The company's request has two parts.

First, the company requests a \$296.6 million general base rate increase to become effective with the first billing cycle in January 2025. This increase is needed to recover our expected costs of service for our projected 2025 test year and to maintain our financial integrity. Mr. Latta presents and explains the calculation of our 2025 total revenue requirement and our proposed 2025 base rate increase in his testimony. He also explains why our proposed 2025 forecasted test year is reasonable as a test year for ratemaking purposes in this proceeding.

Second, the company requests two incremental adjustments in base rates and charges to become effective with the first billing cycles of January 2026 and January 2027. Like

the GBRA and Solar Base Rate Adjustments ("SoBRA") approved by the Commission in other cases, these subsequent year adjustments ("SYA") are designed to only recover the incremental costs of projects the company will place in service in 2026 and 2027 and are not designed to cover all of the investments we will make or expense increases we expect to experience in 2026 and 2027. Our proposed 2026 SYA amount is approximately \$100.1 million and our proposed 2027 SYA is \$71.8 million.

The prudence of assets included in our proposed 2026 and 2027 SYA are explained in the direct testimony of witnesses Stryker, Aponte, Aldazabal and Lukcic. Approving our proposed 2026 and 2027 SYA will enable the company, absent unforeseen circumstances, to avoid the administrative burden of annual rate proceedings for three years. Approval will also promote price predictability for customers and promote regulatory efficiency for our customers, the company, and the Commission.

Q. What information has the company filed with the Commission to support these requested rate increases?

A. The company's petition for rate increase was accompanied by (1) the prepared direct testimony of the company's 19

witnesses and (2) the minimum filing requirement ("MFR") schedules identified as Exhibit Nos. TEC-1 through TEC-12. The witnesses filing direct testimony and the purposes of their testimony are shown on Document No. 1 of my exhibit. The witnesses sponsoring each MFR chedule are identified in Document No. 3 of my exhibit.

Q. What other significant items are included in the company's request?

A. In addition to our requested base rate increases explained above, Tampa Electric seeks approval to continue its AOM and to reset the company's Clean Energy Transition Mechanism ("CETM") factors approved in the 2021 Agreement.

Mr. Heisey explains how the AOM has benefited our customers over the last three years and why it should be extended in his direct testimony. Ms. Ashley Sizemore, Director Rates, will explain our proposed CETM factors and how they comply with the 2021 Agreement in her direct testimony. She will also describe the company's performance under the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act.

2.3

Q. What cost of service methodology and rate design principles has the company used to develop its proposed rates and charges in this case? A. The company's proposed rates and charges were developed in accordance with the applicable provisions in paragraph 6(d) of our 2021 Agreement. The company's initial filing also contains the cost of service and rate design information required by the Commission's minimum filing requirement rule.

In his testimony, Mr. Jordan Williams, Director Pricing and Financial Analysis, explains the cost of service studies, jurisdictional separations studies, rate designs, and proposed rates used in our proposal and initial filing. He will also explain Tampa Electric's proposed service charges and miscellaneous tariff changes, and that the company's proposed customer rates, charges, and tariffs are fair, just, and reasonable, and should be approved.

Q. Does the company's proposed rate design make any special provisions for elderly low-income customers?

A. Yes. As explained further by Mr. Williams, the company seeks approval of its Senior Care program, which will make a \$10 monthly bill credit available to residential customers who are 65 years of age or older and Medicaid eligible. Ms. Sparkman explains the other programs offered by the company to help customers who need assistance paying their electric

bill in her testimony.

(6) PROJECTED BILL IMPACTS

Q. How do the company's expected typical residential bills for 2024 compare to typical 2023 residential bills?

A. We are pleased that our customers are seeing lower electric bills this year. Lower projected fuel prices in 2024, the progress we made in 2023 recovering high fuel costs from 2022, and the approval to spread 2022 storm damage costs over a longer period have all combined to generate good news for our customers. In January, our typical residential customer bill decreased by 11 percent, and commercial and industrial customer rates decreased by 10 to 18 percent depending on their usage. Our current 2024 residential bill is the second lowest among Florida's investor-owned electric utilities.

Q. How does the company expect its proposed rate increase for 2025 to affect typical customers' bills?

2.3

A. Using our clause factors as of January 1, 2024 for 2025, we expect our typical 1,000 kWh residential customer bill in 2025 to be slightly lower than in 2023 and about 12 percent higher than in 2024. Our typical residential bills

will still be among the lowest in Florida. Mr. Williams will provide more detail on customer class bill impacts in his testimony.

Q. What about typical bills for small commercial customers?

A. Using our clause factors as of January 1, 2024 for 2025, we expect our typical small 1,200 kWh commercial customer (GS) bill in 2025 to be approximately 0.1 percent higher than 2024 and about 10 percent lower than in 2023. Mr. Williams will provide more detail on this topic in his testimony.

Q. Will other changes affect 2025 bills?

2.3

A. Yes. The company's storm restoration charge applies through December 2024, so customer bills will be lower in 2025 when that charge ends. Fuel prices have been lower in 2024 than we projected in fall 2023, so we submitted a proposal to lower fuel costs on April 2, 2024. With the reduction in fuel charges and storm restoration charges, 2025 residential customer bill increases will be moderated, and 2025 small commercial customer bills will decrease compared to their current levels.

(7) SUMMARY

Q. Please summarize your direct testimony.

A. Tampa Electric understands that there is never a good time to request rate increases and that higher electric rates will impact our customers. However, I am proud of the work we have done as a company to transform our system and serve the over 40,000 net new customers who joined our system since May 2021.

We have worked diligently and thoughtfully to improve the safety, reliability, and resilience of our electric system, to improve efficiency in all areas of our operations - especially the generating efficiency of our existing power plants - and to ensure that we can continue serving customers at all times regardless of weather conditions. Our future plans will make our system even more reliable, resilient, and efficient.

2.3

The rate relief we are requesting in this case is critically important to enable Tampa Electric to maintain its financial integrity and support the growth of West Central Florida while continuing to meet the expectations of our customers for safe, reliable, and resilient electric service.

WITNESS: COLLINS

EXHIBIT

OF

ARCHIE COLLINS

WITNESS: COLLINS FILED: 04/02/2024

Table of Contents

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	List of Tampa Electric Witnesses and Purpose of their Direct Testimony	45
2	List of Minimum Filing Requirement Schedules Sponsored by Archie Collins	51
3	List of Minimum Filing Requirement Schedules Witness Assignments	52

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 1 OF 6

FILED: 04/02/2024 REVISED: 05/03/2024

List of Tampa Electric Witnesses And Purposes of Their Direct Testimony

Witness	Purposes of Direct Testimony
Archie Collins	(1) provide an overview of Tampa Electric; (2) describe successes transforming the company since last general base rate proceeding in 2021; (3) preview plans for the future; (4) explain why the company is seeking base rate increases and the things it has done to moderate the request; (5) summarize rate increase requests; and (6) highlight how proposed rate increase for 2025 is expected to impact customers' bills
Karen Sparkman	(1) describe Tampa Electric's Customer Experience area and the company's focus on excellent customer service; (2) summarize changes in the Customer Experience area since our last rate case; (3) present and explain the company's customer service results; (4) outline the company's plans to enhance the customer experience it provides; and (5) demonstrate that the company's Customer Experience area rate base amounts and operations and maintenance levels for the 2025 test year are reasonable and prudent. Also discusses the company's programs for low-income customers and proposed miscellaneous tariff changes

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 1

PAGE 2 OF 6 FILED: 04/02/2024 REVISED: 05/03/2024

Witness	Purposes of Direct Testimony
Carlos Aldazabal	(1) describe Energy Supply system; (2) summarize successes transforming Energy Supply since last rate case, (3) outline future Energy Supply plans; and (4) demonstrate that the Energy Supply rate base amounts and operations and maintenance expense levels for the 2025 test year are reasonable and prudent. Also explains the Polk 1 Flexibility, Polk Fuel Diversity, South Tampa Resilience, Bearss Operations Center, and Corporate Headquarters projects, which are included in the proposed 2026 and 2027 SYA, why these projects are prudent, and how they will benefit customers
Kris Stryker	(1) explain plans to build 488.7 MW of Future Solar Projects; (2) explain plans to build 115 MW of Future Energy Storage Capacity Projects; (3) provide the projected installed costs for the projects; (4) explain investigative work for future environmental compliance; and (5) describe planned emerging technology R&D projects
Jose Aponte	(1) discuss plans to add the Polk 1 Flexibility and South Tampa Resilience projects; (2) show that the Polk 1 Flexibility and South Tampa Resilience projects are cost-effective; (3) discuss plans for 12 projects to add Future Energy Storage capacity and Future Solar projects; and (4) demonstrate that the Future Energy Storage and Future Solar projects are cost-effective

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1
WITNESS: COLLINS
DOCUMENT NO. 1
PAGE 3 OF 6

Witness	Purposes of Direct Testimony
Chip Whitworth	(1) describe the company's T&D system; (2) describe the changes to the T&D system since the company's last base rate case; (3) describe future plans for its T&D system and its grid modernization strategy; (4) show that the 2025 T&D construction program and capital budget is reasonable and prudent; and (5) show that the proposed 2025 level of T&D O&M is reasonable and prudent
David Lukcic	(1) describe Operations Technology and Strategy department and Operations Technology ("OT") resources and applications Tampa Electric uses to operate its electric system; (2) explain progress made in the OT area since the company's last base rate case; (3) summarize the department's plans for the future; (4) show that the company's OT capital investments and O&M expense for 2025 are reasonable and prudent; and (5) describe the Grid Reliability and Resilience Project that will be going in service and part of 2026 and 2027 SYA
Chris Heck	(1) describe Information Technology ("IT") department, the IT resources and applications Tampa Electric uses, and the company's cybersecurity strategy; (2) explain progress in the IT area since 2021 rate case; and (3) demonstrate that the IT rate base amounts and operations and maintenance expense levels for the 2025 test year are reasonable and prudent

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 4 OF 6

Witness	Purposes of Direct Testimony
Marian Cacciatore	(1) provide an overview of the company's Human Resource activities, (2) explain the company's employee compensation system, and (3) demonstrate that Tampa Electric's total compensation costs for the 2025 test year are reasonable
Lori Cifuentes	(1) describe Tampa Electric's load forecasting process; (2) describe the methodologies and assumptions used for the forecast; and (3) to present the load forecast used in Tampa Electric's test year budget that supports its request for a base rate increase. In addition, show that the forecasts are appropriate and reasonable
Ned Allis	Sponsors and explains the company's 2023 Depreciation Study and proposed depreciation rates
Jeff Kopp	Sponsors and explains the company's Dismantlement Study and (2) supports the reasonableness of the Dismantlement Study costs
Dylan D'Ascendis	Recommends that the Commission authorize Tampa Electric the opportunity to earn an ROE of 11.50 percent on its jurisdictional rate base
John Heisey	Describes the Asset Optimization Mechanism and explains why it should be continued after 2021 Agreement expires

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 5 OF 6

Witness	Purposes of Direct Testimony
Valerie Strickland	(1) describe changes in income tax law since the company's last general base rate proceeding in 2021; (2) discuss the impact of new renewable tax credits on the company's income tax expense for the 2025 test year; (3) present the company's calculation of income tax expense for the 2023 historical and 2025 projected test years; (4) explain Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes and Investments Tax Credits in the company's projected capital structure; and (5) present the company's 2025 parent debt adjustment calculation
Richard Latta	(1) describe the company's 2025 test year; (2) explain the 2025 budget and process used to develop it; (3) present proposed 2025 rate base, net operating income, and revenue requirement increase; (4) explain how the company accounts for affiliated transactions; and present the revenue requirement calculations for proposed 2026 and 2027 SYA

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 1 PAGE 6 OF 6

Witness	Purposes of Direct Testimony
Jeff Chronister	(1) explain how the company's financial profile has changed from its last rate case; (2) discuss the importance of Tampa Electric's financial integrity and credit ratings; (3) present the company's proposed capital structure and weighted average cost of capital for the 2025 test year; and (4) describe the company's projected financial condition for 2026 and 2027 and regulatory options for those years including the company's request for Subsequent Year Adjustments. Explains why the Commission should approve the company's proposed 54 percent equity ratio (investor sources) as part capital structure discussion
Ashley Sizemore	(1) describe the Clean Energy Transition Mechanism ("CETM"), (2) explain what has happened with the CETM since 2022, (3) discuss Tampa Electric's proposed CETM factors to be effective January 1, 2025, and (4) discuss Tampa Electric's performance under the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act ("FEECA")
Jordan Williams	(1) present and explain the company's filed cost of service studies and proposed base rates and service charges and (2) explain proposed miscellaneous tariff changes and the proposed Senior Care program

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20240026-EI EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 2 PAGE 1 OF 1 FILED: 04/02/2024

LIST OF MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENT SCHEDULES SPONSORED BY ARCHIE COLLINS

MFR Schedule	Title
F-09	Public Notice

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 1 OF 12

FILED: 04/02/2024 REVISED: 05/03/2024

MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENT ASSIGNMENTS

EXHIBIT TEC	-1 SCH	EDULE A - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
A-1	Chronister	Full Revenue Requirements Increase Requested
A-2	Chronister Williams	Full Revenue Requirements Bill Comparison - Typical Monthly Bills
A-3	Chronister Williams	Summary Of Tariffs
A-4	Chronister Williams	Interim Revenue Requirements Increase Requested
A-5	Chronister Williams	Interim Revenue Requirements Bill Comparison - Typical Monthly Bills

EXHIBIT TEC-2		;-2	SCHEDULE B – RATE BASE	
	MFR Schedule	Witness	Title	
E	3-1	Chronister Williams	Adjusted Rate Base	
E	3-2	Aldazabal Chronister Williams	Rate Base Adjustments	
E	3-3	Chronister	13 Month Average Balance Sheet - System Basis	
E	3-4	Chronister	Two Year Historical Balance Sheet	
Е	3-5	Chronister	Detail Of Changes In Rate Base	

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 2 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
B-6	Aldazabal Chronister Whitworth Williams	Jurisdictional Separation Factors-Rate Base
B-7	Aldazabal Allis Chronister Heck Sparkman Stryker Whitworth	Plant Balances By Account And Sub-Account
B-8	Aldazabal Chronister Heck Sparkman Stryker Whitworth	Monthly Plant Balances Test Year-13 Months
B-9	Aldazabal Allis Chronister Stryker Whitworth	Depreciation Reserve Balances By Account And Sub-Account
B-10	Aldazabal Chronister Stryker Whitworth	Monthly Reserve Balances Test Year-13 Months
B-11	Aldazabal Chronister Lukcic Stryker Whitworth	Capital Additions And Retirements
B-12	Aldazabal Chronister Stryker	Production Plant Additions

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1
WITNESS: COLLINS
DOCUMENT NO. 3
PAGE 3 OF 12

MFR		KEVISED: 03/03/202
Schedule	Witness	Title
B-13	Aldazabal Chronister Lukcic Stryker Whitworth Williams	Construction Work in Progress
B-14	Chronister	Earnings Test
B-15	Aldazabal Chronister Whitworth Stryker Williams	Property Held For Future Use-13 Month Average
B-16	Not Applicable	Nuclear Fuel Balances
B-17	Chronister Williams	Working Capital-13 Month Average
B-18	Aldazabal Chronister	Fuel Inventory By Plant
B-19	Chronister Stryker	Miscellaneous Deferred Debits
B-20	Chronister	Other Deferred Credits
B-21	Chronister Whitworth	Accumulated Provision Accounts-228.1, 228.2 And 228.4
B-22	Strickland	Total Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes
B-23	Strickland	Investment Tax Credits-Annual Analysis
B-24	Aldazabal Chronister	Leasing Arrangements

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 4 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
	Stryker Whitworth	
B-25	Chronister	Accounting Policy Changes Affecting Rate Base

EXHIBIT TEC-3		SCHEDULE C - NET OPERATING INCOME
MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
C-1	Chronister Williams	Adjusted Jurisdictional Net Operating Income
C-2	Chronister	Net Operating Income Adjustments
C-3	Chronister Williams	Jurisdictional Net Operating Income Adjustments
C-4	Aldazabal Chronister Whitworth Williams	Jurisdictional Separation Factors – Net Operating Income
C-5	Chronister Williams	Operating Revenues Detail
C-6	Aldazabal Cacciatore Chronister Sparkman Whitworth	Budgeted Versus Actual Operating Revenues and Expenses
C-7	Not Applicable	Operation and Maintenance Expenses – Test Year

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 5 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
C-8	Aldazabal Cacciatore Chronister Sparkman Whitworth	Detail of Changes in Expenses
C-9	Aldazabal Chronister Sparkman Whitworth	Five Year Analysis – Change in Cost
C-10	Chronister	Detail of Rate Case Expenses for Outside Consultants
C-11	Chronister Sparkman	Uncollectable Accounts
C-12	Chronister Sparkman Williams	Administrative Expenses
C-13	Chronister Williams	Miscellaneous General Expenses
C-14	Chronister Sparkman Williams	Advertising Expenses
C-15	Chronister Williams	Industry Association Dues
C-16	Aldazabal Chronister Heck Whitworth	Outside Professional Services
C-17	Cacciatore Chronister	Pension Cost
C-18	Chronister	Lobbying Expenses, Other Political Expenses, and Civic/Charitable Contributions

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 6 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
C-19	Chronister	Amortization/Recovery Schedule – 12 Months
C-20	Chronister Williams	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes
C-21	Chronister	Revenue Taxes
C-22	Strickland	State and Federal Income Tax Calculation
C-23	Chronister	Interest in Tax Expense Calculation
C-24	Strickland	Parent(s) Debt Information
C-25	Strickland	Deferred Tax Adjustment
C-26	Strickland	Income Tax Returns
C-27	Strickland	Consolidated Tax Information
C-28	Strickland	Miscellaneous Tax Information
C-29	Chronister	Gains and Losses on Disposition of Plant and Property
C-30	Chronister	Transactions With Affiliated Companies
C-31	Chronister	Affiliated Company Relationships
C-32	Chronister	Non-Utility Operations Utilizing Utility Assets
C-33	Aldazabal Chronister Cifuentes Whitworth	Performance Indices
C-34	Aldazabal Chronister Cifuentes Whitworth	Statistical Information

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 7 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
C-35	Cacciatore Chronister Cifuentes	Payroll and Fringe Benefit Increases Compared to CPI
C-36	Chronister Cifuentes	Non-Fuel Operation and Maintenance Expense Compared to CPI
C-37	Aldazabal Cacciatore Chronister Heck Sparkman Strickland Whitworth	O & M Benchmark Comparison By Function
C-38	Aldazabal Chronister Heck Sparkman Strickland Whitworth	O & M Adjustments By Function
C-39	Aldazabal Chronister Heck Sparkman Whitworth	Benchmark Year Recoverable O & M Expenses By Function
C-40	Aldazabal Chronister Cifuentes	O & M Compound Multiplier Calculation
C-41	Aldazabal Cacciatore Chronister Heck Sparkman Strickland Whitworth	O & M Benchmark Variance by Function
C-42	Not- Applicable	Hedging Costs

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 8 OF 12

FILED: 04/02/2024 REVISED: 05/03/2024

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
C-43	Chronister Whitworth	Security Costs
C-44	Chronister	Revenue Expansion Factor

EXHIBIT TEC-4 SCHEDULE D - COST OF CAPITAL

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
D-1a	Chronister Williams	Cost Of Capital - 13 Month Average
D-1b	Chronister	Cost Of Capital - Adjustments
D-2	Chronister	Cost Of Capital - 5 Year History
D-3	Chronister	Short-Term Debt
D-4a	Chronister	Long-Term Debt Outstanding
D-4b	Chronister	Reacquired Bonds
D-5	Chronister	Preferred Stock Outstanding
D-6	Chronister	Customer Deposits
D-7	Chronister	Common Stock Data
D-8	Chronister	Financial Plans - Stock And Bond Issues
D-9	Chronister	Financial Indicators - Summary

EXHIBIT TEC-5 SCHEDULE E - COST OF SERVICE AND RATE DESIGN

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title	
E-1	Williams	Cost Of Service Studies	

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 9 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
Concadio	111111000	1100
E-3a	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Allocation Of Rate Base Components To Rate Schedule
E-3b	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Allocation Of Expense Components To Rate Schedule
E-4a	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Functionalization And Classification Of Rate Base
E-4b	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Functionalization And Classification Of Expenses
E-5	Williams	Source And Amount Of Revenues-At Present And Proposed Rates
E-6a	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Unit Costs, Present Rates
E-6b	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Unit Costs, Proposed Rates
E-7	Williams	Development Of Service Charges
E-8	Williams	Company - Proposed Allocation Of The Rate Increase By Rate Class
E-9	Williams	Cost Of Service - Load Data
E-10	Williams	Cost Of Service Study-Development Of Allocation Factors
E-11	Cifuentes Williams	Development Of Coincident And Non-Coincident Demands For Cost Study
E-12	Chronister Williams	Adjustment To Test Year Revenue
E-13a	Williams	Revenue From Sale Of Electricity By Rate Schedule
E-13b	Williams	Revenues By Rate Schedule-Service Charges (Account 451)
E-13c	Williams	Base Revenue By Rate Schedule-Calculations
E-13d	Williams	Revenue By Rate Schedule-Lighting Schedule Calculation

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1
WITNESS: COLLINS
DOCUMENT NO. 3
PAGE 10 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
E-14	Williams	Proposed Tariff Sheets And Support For Charges
E-14a	Williams	Comparison Of Rate Changes And Unit Costs At System ROR
E-14b	Williams	Dervitation (Calculation & Assumptions) Of Other Charges And Credits
E-15	Cifuentes Williams	Projected Billing Determinants-Derivation
E-16	Cifuentes	Customers By Voltage Level
E-17	Cifuentes	Load Research Data
E-18	Cifuentes	Monthly Peaks
E-19a	Cifuentes	Demand And Energy Losses
E-19b	Cifuentes	Energy Losses
E-19c	Cifuentes	Demand Losses

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1
WITNESS: COLLINS
DOCUMENT NO. 3
PAGE 11 OF 12

FILED: 04/02/2024 REVISED: 05/03/2024

EXHIBIT TEC-6 – 9 SCHEDULE E – COST OF SERVICE AND RATE DESIGN

Studies	<u>and</u>	<u>Workpapers</u>
-		

Exhibit TEC – 6	VOL I	Jurisdictional Separation Study
Exhibit TEC – 7	VOL II	Cost of Service Study 4 CP and Full MDS, Per 2021 Agreement and Supplemental Opt-Out Study
Exhibit TEC -8	VOL III	Cost of Service Study 12 CP and 1/13 th , Per Rule 25-6.043
Exhibit TEC – 9	VOL IV	Lighting Incremental Cost Study

EXHIBIT TEC-10 SCHEDULE F - MISCELLANEOUS

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
F-1	Chronister	Annual Reports To Shareholders

EXHIBIT TEC-11 SCHEDULE F - MISCELLANEOUS

F-2 Chronister SEC Reports

EXHIBIT TEC-12	2 SC	CHEDULE F - MISCELLANEOUS
F-3	Chronister	Business Contracts With Officers Or Directors
F-4	Not Applicable	Nuclear Regulatory Commission Safety Citations
F-5	Aldazabal Chronister Cifuentes Whitworth	Forecasting Models
F-6	Cifuentes	Forecasting Models-Sensitivity Of Output To Changes in Input Data
F-7	Cifuentes	Forecasting Models-Historical Data

EXHIBIT NO. AC-1 WITNESS: COLLINS DOCUMENT NO. 3 PAGE 12 OF 12

MFR Schedule	Witness	Title
F-8	Aldazabal Cacciatore Chronister Cifuentes Whitworth Williams	Assumptions
F-9	Collins Chronister	Public Notice